MANAGING CAPITAL PLANNING AND COMPETING PRIORITIES





Agenda



Introductions



Setting the Table



Winning the Competition for Capital



Prioritization



Telling Your Story



What's the Catch











Introductions – Roth IAMS

Curtis Loblick

- Vice President of Operations, Roth IAMS
- Professional Engineer and Certified Energy Manager
- Over 20 years of experience collaborating with institutions across North America on all aspects of facility asset management













What is Integrated Asset Management?














IAM – Where is Our Focus Now?







All the Competing Factors







Where are We Coming From?

- Single Year Budgets
- Bottom-Up Development (single asset focus)
- Priority is based on:
 - Is it Broken?
 - Squeaky Wheel/Politics
 - Discipline Bias of Decision Makers







What are the Results?

- ► Inflexibility
- ► Highly reactive
- Worsening overall asset condition and performance
- Inefficient investment of limited capital dollars
- Little accountability to results or improvement







Why Evolve?

- Aging infrastructure and evolving building program needs
- ► Inadequate funding
- Interdepartmental competition for capital
- Aging/retiring workforce takes knowledge with them when they leave
- Inconsistent asset data
- ► Stricter regulations/codes










What is the Goal?

To develop a strategy, process and tools that allow an organization to improve the quality of their built environment through the efficient and effective allocation of limited capital and maintenance dollars.





Multi-year Planning Process







What is a Multiyear Plan?

- ► Three or Five-Year plan that details specific projects to be undertaken
- ▶ Based on Condition Assessment Data as well as other Expert-Level Assessments
- Includes consideration for business and "political" factors
- Built based on known levels of funding and projections of future funding
- Presented to Senior Leadership and Stakeholders for approval each year







Updated throughout the year based on:

- ► Changes in Priority
- Changes in Available Funding
 System Failure
- ► Changes in Project Costs

Refreshed annually based on:

- Actual Work Completed
- Confirmation of Funding
 Updated Asset Information
 Available Internal Resources



Multi-Year Capital Plan for the Second Planning Cycle

2008/09-2011/12

Prototype June 2009

www.usask.ca





Multi-Year Planning Tool

What is it?

➤ Spreadsheet or functionality within Capital Planning Software used to select the FCA recommendations for inclusion and exclusion from the Three-to-Five-Year Capital Plan

How does it Work?

- ► Begins with an extract of relevant FCA data
 - Far greater need than available funding
- ► Needs to align with long-term space/program needs
- ► Integrates additional facility data beyond 5-Year Condition data based on visual observation
- ▶ Provides justification for inclusion and exclusion of each need identified in the FCA
- ► Can include Multivariable Prioritization (MVP) based on organization-specific objectives (e.g. enhanced utilization)











Prioritization

- Picking what needs are included in an actual capital plan
- ► Most organizations use categorical priority
 - ►e.g. Urgent, High, Medium Low
 - ► What happens when you run out of money in the middle of a category?
 - ► Which High is Higher than High?
- Often primarily based on condition assessment data and condition criteria
- Needs to build beyond traditional risk management







Traditional Risk Management

		Consequence of Failure				
		1	2	3	4	5
Likelihood of Failure	5					
	4					
	3					
	2					
	1					





Beyond Just Risk Management

- More than just Risk to consider in Capital Planning
- ► What other factors do you consider?
 - ► Program Needs
 - Sustainability
 - ► Political
 - Community Needs
 - ► Others?







Multivariable Prioritization (MVP)

- Collaborative process with stakeholders from across an organization including: Facilities, Finance, Users, etc.
- Provides a matrix that includes what is important to your organization
- ► Building/Asset or Element Level Categories that are scored and weighted
- ► Allows stakeholders to understand how the priority score was calculated
- ► Easy update as organizational goals evolve
- Generally, includes both aspects of Risk Management







Multivariable Prioritization (MVP)

Category Type	Category	Weighting	Subcategory	Score
Building/Asset	Building/Infrastructure Type	10	Academic - Classroom/Lab	10
			Academic - Research	9
			Residence	8
			Infrastructure - Subsurface	8
			Ancillary	4
			Infrastructure - Surface	2

Category Type	Cateogory	Weighting	Subcategory	Score
Element	Consequence of Failure	30	Fire/Life Safety	10
			Full Campus Shut Down	9
			Multi-Building Shutdown	8
			Full Building Shut Down	6
			Partial Building Shut Down	4
			Program Interruption	3
			Nuisance	1











Road Map

What is it?

- ► Part of the Multi-Year Planning Tool
- Provides a step-by-step review of how each relevant FCA need was addressed
- ▶ Presented in Lay-Person Language for non-facilities stakeholders' benefit

How Does it Work?

- Links to all other areas of the Planning Tools so you can stay at the high-level or "dive deep" to look at a specific project in a specific building
- ► Answers the question "How did we get from there to here?"







Road Map

3-Year Capital Plan (2019/2020 to 2021/2022)- Road Map						
Count of 5-Year Recom		1,000				
Total 5-Year Recommendations						
Selection Criteria	Explanation	Included Rec.	Exclud	led Recs		
Life Safety	Life Safety Systems in Critical/Poor Condition	15				
Potential Closure	Building being considered for Closure/Divestment		1	110		
Studies	Studies (from BCAs) covered by Maintenance Budget		;	35		
Priority	Low and Medium Priority Removed		6	525		
	Findings of Detailed Roofing Study	20				
Roofing Deferrals	BCA Recommendations that contradict Roofing Study			24		
	Two Highest Priority Boilers included Each Year	6				
Board Priority - Windows Focus on Window Renewal due to Previous Deferrals		20				
	Feedback provided by Building Ops Staff/Occupants	20				
Energy Opportunities	Energy consuming equipment in Critical/Poor Condition	25				
Budget	Insufficient Capital Budget Available		1	115		
Totals		91	g	009		
Total 3-Year Capital Spend			\$ 2,	350,000		












What's the Catch?

None of this will work if you don't have an effective strategy in place to acquire, manage and update consistent and defensible asset data on a regular basis

- Finding your data sweet spot
 - ► More data is not always a good thing
- ► Requires collaboration across your organization
 - ► Capital/Construction
 - ► Operations & Maintenance
 - **►** Finance
 - ► Program/Users







Annual Updates

Adjustments are made to the process and tools each year

- ► Review and update MVP/Priority
- ► Adjust the dataset based on newly completed FCAs

In-depth annual revision to the specific projects selected

- ► Reconcile deferred projects from previous year's plan
- Consideration of the previous assumptions / priorities
- ► Adjustments based on current business objectives





Update

How to Win the Competition for Capital

- ► Develop a Multiyear Capital Planning Process
- ► Maintain a Comprehensive Prioritization System
- ► Build a Road Map Each Year
- Acquire, manage and update consistent and defensible data
- ► Repeat Each Year









Q&A






THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING

For More Information contact Curtis at Curtis.Loblick@rothiams.com

Dive Into Our Thought Leadership



Publications, Conferences, Webinars & Our Blog



