Data Validation vs. Building Type Models: Why Precision Matters

In the ever-evolving world of facilities management, one truth has become increasingly evident: the quality of the data driving decisions is directly tied to the success of those decisions. We have spent years championing the value of validated data models over generalized building-type data models. The reason is simple—precision matters, and when it comes to asset management, there's no substitute for accuracy.

This paper explores why validated data models are superior to building-type models and how the shift toward precision-based approaches is transforming facility planning and decision-making.

The Limits of Generalized Building-Type Models

Building-type models emerged as a quick and cost-effective way to estimate facility needs. By grouping buildings into predefined categories—such as schools, hospitals, or office spaces—this approach provides a generalized baseline for understanding asset conditions and forecasting maintenance or capital renewal needs.

While this method may seem efficient, it is fraught with limitations:

1. **Assumptions Over Accuracy** Building-type models rely heavily on assumptions about typical characteristics and behaviors of assets. These assumptions rarely account for the unique conditions, usage patterns, and maintenance histories of individual facilities.

2. **Context Blindness** Generalized data fails to capture the specific environmental factors that influence asset performance. For example, a school in a humid coastal area will experience different wear and tear than one in an arid desert, even if they belong to the same "type."

3. **Stale Data** Building-type models often rely on outdated or static datasets that cannot adapt to changes in building usage, new technologies, or evolving standards. This lag leaves decision-makers working with information that may no longer be relevant.

4. **Missed Opportunities for Optimization** By treating buildings as homogeneous entities, these models overlook opportunities for optimization that could be revealed through more granular analysis. Custom-tailored solutions are impossible when the data lacks specificity.

What Is a Validated Data Model?

A validated data model, by contrast, is built on a foundation of accurate, current, and context-specific information. It leverages direct assessments, standardized methodologies, and robust quality control measures to create a true representation of a facility's condition and needs.

Key characteristics of validated data models include:

- **Granularity**: Detailed insights into individual assets and systems.

Roth IAMS
Integrated Asset Management Strategies

- **Accuracy**: Reliable data obtained through rigorous fieldwork and verification.

- **Dynamic Updates**: Models that evolve as conditions change or new information becomes available.

- **Contextual Relevance**: Data that considers the specific environment and usage of a facility.

Why Validated Data Models Are Superior

1. Precision Leads to Better Decision-Making

Validated data provides the accuracy needed to make informed decisions. Whether you're prioritizing deferred maintenance, allocating capital budgets, or planning long-term sustainability initiatives, precise data ensures that resources are directed where they're needed most.

Consider this: a generalized building-type model may suggest that the HVAC system in a school is due for replacement after 20 years, based on industry averages. A validated data model, however, might reveal that this system, due to superior maintenance and low usage, has another five years of reliable service left. That's a difference worth tens of thousands of dollars.

2. Tailored Solutions for Unique Facilities

No two buildings are exactly alike. Validated data models respect this fact by offering insights specific to each facility. This enables tailored solutions that address unique challenges and capitalize on individual opportunities.

For example, two hospitals may fall under the same building-type model but have vastly different needs due to their locations, patient volumes, and operational priorities. A validated data model would highlight these differences and guide more effective planning.

3. Improved Risk Management

Validated data models provide a clearer picture of potential risks, from structural vulnerabilities to compliance gaps. This foresight allows facility managers to proactively address issues before they escalate into costly emergencies.

In contrast, building-type models often fail to identify nuanced risks, leaving organizations exposed to avoidable liabilities.

4. Alignment with Organizational Goals

From achieving sustainability targets to enhancing user experiences, validated data models help align facility management strategies with broader organizational objectives. By delivering actionable insights, these models ensure that facilities contribute to, rather than hinder, strategic goals.

5. Enhanced Credibility and Transparency

In today's data-driven world, stakeholders demand transparency and accountability. Validated data models provide the evidence needed to justify decisions, secure funding, and build trust among stakeholders.

Imagine presenting a funding proposal based on generalized building-type data versus one backed by validated, site-specific data. The latter not only carries more weight but also demonstrates a commitment to precision and due diligence.

Case Study: The Power of Validation

One of our recent projects involved a school board facing mounting deferred maintenance costs. Initial assessments based on building-type models suggested a staggering capital renewal budget. However, after implementing our validated data model approach, the school board discovered that many assets were in better condition than previously thought, while a few critical systems required immediate attention.

The result? A revised plan that reduced the overall budget by 15% while addressing the most urgent needs. This level of precision was only possible through validated data.

The Cost of Inaccuracy

While validated data models may require a greater upfront investment, the cost of inaccuracy in building-type models can be far greater. Misallocated resources, unexpected failures, and missed opportunities for optimization can erode budgets and undermine organizational goals.

The question isn't whether validated data is worth the investment—it's whether organizations can afford not to prioritize it.

The Future Is Precision

As the demands on facility managers grow, the shift toward precision-based approaches is inevitable. Validated data models represent the future of asset management, offering the accuracy, transparency, and insights needed to navigate complexity and drive success.

Conclusion

The debate between generalized building-type models and validated data models isn't just about methodology; it's about mindset. Choosing precision over approximation reflects a commitment to excellence, accountability, and long-term success.

Roth IAMS
Integrated Asset Management Strategies